

Member, Board of Supervisors  
District 1



City and County of San Francisco

**JAKE McGOLDRICK**  
傑克·麥戈德里克

September 22, 2006

Dean Macris, Director of Planning  
Lawrence Badiner, Zoning Administrator  
San Francisco Planning Department  
1660 Mission Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, Ca 94103

RE: Conditional Use Motion 2026 Lombard Street—New Tourist Hotel  
Interpretation of Language of Motion from Board of Supervisors  
C.U Application No. 2002.0497C

Dear Director Macris and Zoning Administrator Badiner:

It has come to my attention that the Department is reviewing a request to issue a conditional use permit based upon a motion which I made at the Board of Supervisors following a lengthy hearing on September 16, 2003. The motion in question (03-179) was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors on September 30, 2003. The Board's motion was issued in response to an appeal brought by the surrounding neighbors of the proposed project which is slated to be a new tourist hotel of some 49,000 square feet on the north side of Lombard Street between Webster and Fillmore Streets.

I have learned that there is a dispute between the neighbors and the Department over the meaning and extent of the set-backs imposed at the rear of the new proposed project, and that there is a dispute over the interpretation of the language and the Board of Supervisors' intention by its motion. The purpose of my letter is to clarify for the Department the intent of the motion I made at the Board three years ago and to assist the Department in any interpretation it believes is needed for its issuance of the permit. Since I was the author of the motion at the Board's hearing, I am a little disappointed that the Department did not contact my office as soon as this issue arose.

As set forth in the motion, the Board found, following the evidentiary hearing, that the size and intensity of the project as approved by the Planning Commission was not necessary and desirable to the community or compatible with the neighborhood. The rear of the subject proposed project abuts several residential lots and the project will place its rear building walls close by to residential uses of a much lower intensity. Accordingly, the purpose of the motion I proposed at the Board of Supervisors following the hearing was to substantially reduce the impacts at the rear of the building, along the northern